

# Key Technologies Regarding Distributed and/or Collaborating Satellite Systems - Protocols

Will Ivancic SYZYGY Engineering ivancic@syzygyengineering.com (440) 503-4892





# Protocols are tools for communication



#### Some should not be used.





# Some are designed for the job at hand



One size does not fit all.

# Beware on inappropriate comparisons (Marketing)



**SYZYGY Engineering** 

#### Good Performance ©





**Poor Performance**  $\otimes$ 

#### An Example of TCP Steady State Performance



**SYZYGY Engineering** 



Figure 1. Upper Bound On TCP Throughput for Various Interplanetary Round Trip Times Assuming 1e-8 Packet Loss Rate

Chart is from "Why not use the Standard Internet Suite for the Interplanetary Internet?" By Robert C. Durst, Patrick D. Feighery, Keith L. Scott



#### Reliable, High-Rate Transport Protocols for Highly Asymmetric Private Links

#### Theoretical Steady State Throughput SYZYGY Engineering Theoretical Protocol Throughput TCP and Rate Based Single Flow TCP Performance equitation is from Mathis, M. et al, The Macroscopic Behavior of the Congestion Avoidance Algorithm", Computer Communications Review, volume 27, number 3, July 1997. 100 90 80 70 **Delay Tolerant** Throughput (Mbps) 60 TCP 500 msec RTT -TCP 250 msec RTT 50 -TCP 10 msec RTT -Rate-Based 40 Don't Use TCP for long delays. However, Increasing Delay • one can still use IP and a rate-base protocol. 30 TCP does not operate well on highly • 20 asymmetric links due to Acknowledgement congestion. 10 0 0.0E+00 1.0E-08 1.0E-07 1 0F-06 1 0E-05 1 0F-04

BER

# **DTN Layering (RFC 5050)**





#### "PROTOCOLS FOR STORE-AND-FORWARD MESSAGE SWITCHING VIA MICROSATELLITES" J. W. Ward and H. E. Price



- A suite of protocols specifically optimized for use on store-andforward microsatellite communications missions.
- Design the spacecraft software so that there was one generic data entity that it knew how to deal with and deal with well
- Leave all routing decisions to the ground segment.
- A client identifies a message which it requires and sends a broadcast request to the server.
- The client will receive some or all of the datagrams from its request. Upon receiving these (or other) datagrams, the client places them at the indicated byte offset in the appropriate file.
- When a client wishes to have the server retransmit missing datagrams, the client composes and transmits a repeat request datagram. If some of the desired datagrams are not received, then the clent sends the request again.
- The client repeats this process until the message is complete.

#### **Generic SNACK GET Concept**



#### **Generic SNACK PUT Concept**



#### Selective, Negative Acknowledgment (SNACK) Protocols – not all inclusive



**SYZYGY Engineering** 

Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems (CCSDS) File Delivery Protocol (CFDP) - CCSDS 727.0-B-2:

- Selective Negative Acknowledgement
- Designed for Space Applications (Highly Asymmetric Links, Suspend/Resume timers during known outages)
- Private Links (No Congestion Control)
- Designed for File Transfers (really and application and transport protocol)
- Reliable or Unreliable file transfer
- Routing Capabilities (Preconfigured Next Hop Static Routing)

#### Licklider Transport Protocol (LTP)

Based on CFDP

11

- Distinguishes between important and unimportant data
- Timers work together with communication schedules and can be suspended whenever a scheduled link outage occurs
- Needs to be informed about link layer availability, round-trip time and communication schedule, basically requiring a management information base, Thus, **Highly stateful**

#### Saratoga (used buy SSTL daily)

- Based on "Protocols For Store-and-forward Message Switching Via Microsatellites"
- CFDP proved overly complex
- High-speed, UDP-based, peer-to-peer protocol, providing error-free guaranteed delivery of files, or streaming of data.
- No specified timers means no timeouts, so Saratoga is ideal for very long propagation delay networks (such as deep space)
- Able to cope with high forward/back network asymmetry (>850:1)

#### Store, Carry and Forward (SCF) (DTN or Others) SYZYGY Engineering



#### DTN (Implies TCP cannot be used for convergence layer)







Figure 2-1: Space Link Protocols



Figure 4-4: A CFDP Evolution Path to Use DTN as the CFDP Unitdata Transfer Service

# **Aggregation (or Not?)**



### **DTN in a Nutshell**



## **DTN in a Nutshell**





# Why DTN?



- Pros
  - Flexibility
  - Reliability
  - Robustness
  - Automates routing for multi-hop, multipath network topologies

#### • Cons

- Added complexity
- Not needed for single hop systems
- Adds Overhead
  - Can be significant overhead depending on the bundle size



# DTN Environments

Opportunistic (intermittent connectivity, short delay)



scheduled allay

#### **Single-Hop Architecture**



- CFDP
- LTP
- Saratoga
- Others
- No Need for DTN
  Complexity
  - DTN is most useful for multi-hop networks



#### Delay/Disruption Tolerant Network (DTN)

- Goal: A standardized store and forward protocol and routing protocol
- Designed for extreme environments
  - Large transmission link delays
  - Extended periods of network partitioning
  - High per-link error rates making end-to-end reliability difficult
  - Routing capable of operating efficiently in the following environments
    - Frequently disconnected
    - Pre-scheduled or Opportunistic link availability
    - Heterogeneous underlying network technologies (including non-IP-based internets)
- The architecture operates as an overlay network

# **DTN Layering (RFC 5050)**





#### Known Issues with Current Bundling Specifications



- No IRTF generated Request For Comments (RFCs) are standards.
  - RFC5050 is an Experimental Specification not a Standard
- Requires loose time synchronization
- No reliability checks on the header
- No way to terminate routing loops
- Naming is addressing
  - Flat name space makes scalability hard if not impossible
  - No identified way to aggregate routes
- Dynamic Routing is incomplete
- Discovery is incomplete
- Bundle Security Protocol
  - Specification is overly complex
  - Cases have been identified were it is broken
  - Security does not work with reactive fragmentation
  - Key exchange and key management is an open issue

# DTN ON THE INTERNATIONAL SPACE STATION

# **ISS History**



- Design dates back to 80s and early 90s
  - pre-Internet era
- Phase 0
  - Commanding via low rate S-Band uplink
    - Uplink bandwidth is limited resource that must be managed.
  - Payload data downlink via Ku-Band at 50 Mbps
  - Highly asymmetric links and both may not be on simultaneously
- Phase I
  - KuBand uplink at 3 Mbps
  - Orbital communication adapter (introduced Internet
    - 3 Mbps Up, 6.5 Mbps down IP in CCSDS packets
- KuBand Upgrade
  - 30 Mbps Up, 300 Mbps Down Ku-Band
  - IP onboard with IP encapsulated in CCSDS up/down

# **NASA ISS DTN Project**



- ISS Disruption Tolerant Networking (DTN) Architecture for flight, ground, and test/simulation
- Increased reliability of payload data transfers between ISS and remote payload control centers during Acquisition of Signal (AOS) / Loss of Signal (LOS) transitions
- Increased automation of Payload Developer (PD) requests for data transfers
- Mechanism to alleviate extensive support to plan payload transfers around AOS/LOS and operator required transfers
- Mechanism to use standard, publicly available protocols, avoiding the use of costly custom protocol implementations
- Opportunity to gain valuable experience using DTN, which is the expected communication protocol of choice for future space exploration

# Current Payload Operations

- Uplink/Downlink request
- Entire files have to be re-transmitted when transfer errors occur
- Manual transfer by operations required for file transfers across AOS/LOS
- 24x7 continuous support operations to ensure access to science data
- Data recorded to Outage Mass Memory unit requires operators to playback
- Custom file transfer protocols utilized. Currently each payload, tool, support equipment uses a custom method or protocol to transfer data reliably between on-orbit and ground
  - Ex. Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer (AMS) and custom AMS Crew Operations Post (ACOP) system to store and buffer data in a proprietary implementation



- Provides capability to automate operations and ensure science delivery with little regard for link or facility outages
  - MSFC, MCC-H, and ISS DTN nodes will store user file uplinks/downlinks and forward bundles as Kuband becomes available
- Reduce real-time support to access and downlink science data
- DTN stores data during LOS and automatically initiates transfer upon AOS
- A download transfer can span Ku-Band AOS periods without any special scheduling or scripting
- Reduces need for duplicate storage and extra retrieval actions

#### **DTN Benefits to Payload Communications**



- Reliable data transfer for ISS during LOS/AOS cycles
  - Automatic verification of bundle receipts, retransmissions reduced
  - When transmission errors occur only the bundles that have errors are retransmitted
  - Maximizes use of bandwidth by reducing the amount of data that has to be retransmitted
- Allows Payload Developers to use DTN protocols for their own applications
- Efficient use of downlink stream through DTN Quality of Service (QoS)
- Tolerance for high network latency (600 ms Round Trip Time (RTT) delay is typical on Ku link)

#### **Current/Future ISS DTN Use Cases**



- Current Users of DTN on ISS:
  - Various "developmental" DTN configurations have been in use on ISS in support of payload activities for several years
  - DTN is or has been used in support of the following Payloads
  - BioServe Commercial-Grade Bioprocessing Apparatus (CGBA)
  - DARPA Synchronized Position Hold Engage and Reorient Experimental Satellites (SPHERES) Smartphone
  - ESA Quickstart and OPSCOM I
- Additional Payloads discussing use of DTN include:
  - Multiple User System for Earth Sensing (MUSES)
  - ESA's METERON (Multi-Purpose End-To-End Robotic Operation Network)
  - Human Exploration Telerobotics (HET) Surface Telerobotics
  - JAXA Kibo KaBand Rain fade mitigation
  - Other payloads are becoming interested

#### **ESA QuickStart-A and METERON topologies**













- The implementation of DTN on ISS will provide a standard method of communication for payloads that is reliable, autonomous and more efficient than current techniques, resulting in better utilization and more science return from ISS
- The use of DTN by payloads will significantly ease Payload Development of both onboard and ground communication systems and could reduce payload operator costs





#### Implementation of DTN for Large File Transfers from Low Earth Orbiting Satellite

Will Ivancic NASA Glenn Research Center william.d.ivancic@nasa.gov 216-433-3494



#### Secure Autonomous Integrated Controller for Distributed Sensor Webs



#### **General Mobility**

#### **Registration and Discovery**









#### United Kingdom – Disaster Monitoring Satellite

- The United Kingdom -Disaster Monitoring Constellation (UK-DMC) satellite is an imposed satellite
  - One of 5 (or 6 or 7 as constellation grows)
  - Commercial Money Making Operation
    - You can request an image (and pay)
- Polar Orbit approximately once every 100 minutes
- Satellite is in view of any one ground station for 8 to 14 minutes – hence disruption.
- Round Trip Time Delay is ~ 100 msec, thus delay is not the issue here (unlike for deep space).













- Onboard experimental Payload, Cisco router in Low Earth Orbit (CLEO)
  - Not Used for DTN Testing
- Three Solid State Data Recorders
  - 1 with a StrongARM Processor
  - 2 with Motorola MPC8260 PowerPC (We use one of these)
    - RTEMS operating system (POSIX API, BSD sockets)
    - Storage Capacity 1 GByte RAM
    - Operating System Image limit is 0.5 Mbyte
- Uplink is 9600 bits per second
- Downlink is 8.134 Mbps
- Datalink Frame Relay/HDLC
- Network Protocol IPv4 (could easily run IPv6)
- Transport Protocol (Saratoga version 0 over UDP)
  - Saratoga version 0 is existing SSTL transport
  - Saratoga version 1 is what is in the Internet Drafts
    - Enhances version 0 to make it more widely usable







- Simple High Speed File Transfer Protocol
  - Replaces CFDP
    - Most of the features of CFDP not needed
    - CFDP implementation was to slow to fully fill SSTL downlinks
  - Implemented for highly asymmetric links
    - Asymmetry up to 850:1 for S-Band transmitters
    - Asymmetry up to 8333:1 for X-Band transmitters
  - Negative acknowledge rate-based protocol
  - Uses UDP at the network layer
  - Sends Beacon to allow ground station that the space/ground link is up.











- Main Satellite Control if via Onboard Computer
- Imaging has separate Flight Code residing in Solid State Data Recorder
  - RTEMS based
  - Major Functions
    - Control Area Network (CAN) bus interface
      - Commanding from the Onboard Computer is via CAN bus
      - Added command for MD5
    - Image Capture and Storage
      - Optional MD5 calculation (added by NASA Wes Eddy)
    - Memory Wash
    - Bundling Shim (added by NASA Wes Eddy)
    - File Transfer (Saratoga in Spacecraft)
      - Modified to handle Bundling Shim (Metadata plus offset)







- File Transfer (Saratoga on Ground)
  - GRC independent PERL implementation that passes
    DTN bundles to DTN2 bundle agent
- DTN2
  - Modified to accept bundles from Saratoga
    - Named pipe-based convergence layer adapter
  - Modified (fixed) early version of DTN2 to operated with very large bundles
    - Patch is in current DTN2 implementation
- Bundle to File Application
  - Single Bundle
    - Removes Metadata and creates file
  - Multiple Fragments
    - Combines Multiple bundle fragments into a single file

Put the protocol intelligence and complexity on the ground.



Not to Scale

# **Bundles on UK-DMC**







- September 30 and October 1, 2009 successfully demonstrated multiterminal large file transfers using DTN and ground stations in Alaska followed by Hawaii (approximately 80 minute separation)
  - Demonstrated proactive fragmentation
  - Demonstrated Store and Forward of ground infrastructure
    - Ground station held bundles until routes were established
  - Demonstrated reactive fragmentation between Hawaii ground station bundle agent and GRC bundle agent.
  - Configuration
    - UK-DMC acquired a 150 Mbyte image.
    - DTN bundling code default set to 80 Mbytes for proactive fragmentation.
- September 30 and October 1, 2009 successfully demonstrated multiterminal large file transfers using DTN and ground stations in Hawaii followed by Alaska (approximately 5 minutes between passes but effectively overlapping handover)



Sensor data example: The Cape of Good Hope and False Bay.

False colours – red is vegetation.

Taken by UK-DMC satellite on the morning of Wednesday, 27 August 2008. (Downloading this image also demonstrated optional "DTN bundle" use.)



# Ground Stations and UKDMC Contact Times







#### Multi-Terminal Large File Transfers using DTN

#### September 30 / October 1 Tests









- TCP convergence layer transmission between Hawaii ground station and Cleveland destination was problematic.
  - Cause has yet to be determined.
- Without reactive fragmentation, these tests would have failed.
  - If bundle security protocol (BSP) bundle authentication block (BAB) was used, reactive fragmentation would have failed.
  - If per-hop reliability checks via the BSP payload confidentiality block (PCB), or even some other per-hop reliability check, were used, reactive fragmentation would have failed.
- Conclusion: It is desirable to be able to perform reactive fragmentation and still be able to utilize some form of hop-byhop reliability as well as bundle security.











- Passes over Koganei and SSTL occurred on August 24, 2010 from 22:02 to 22:38.
  - Both proactive bundle fragments were downloaded to the Koganei ground station and automatically transferred to NASA Glenn.
  - A bundle fragment and the last Syslog file were also downloaded at the SSTL ground terminal.
    - A duplicate bundle fragment was received at GRC. DTN2 noted the duplicate and only stored one copy.

Successfully showed use of multiple terminals for large image transfer and international interoperability between USA (NASA) UK (SSTL) and Japan (JAMSS/NICT).